

### Remarks


Applicants provisionally elect group II, claims 18-34.

In the January 20, 2006 office action the Examiner states that "In the instant case the invention of group II, could be used without a conveyor drive motor for driving the conveyor at a linear speed which is controlled by controlling voltage applied to the conveyor drive motor. Additionally, the invention of group II, could be used without a brush motor for driving the brush at a rotational speed wherein the rotation speed is controlled by controlling voltage applied to the brush drive motor." Office action at page 2.

The Examiner should note that claim 1 neither recites a "conveyor drive motor" (first explanation) nor does it contain a recitation of a "brush motor". (second explanation) Since the underlying rationale for the rejection is not met by the claims of group I, it is respectfully requested an initial examination of all pending claims (1 – 34) be conducted.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any required fee under 37 C.F.R. ' 1.17 in connection with this communication to our Deposit Account No. 23-0630.

Respectfully submitted,



Stephen J. Schultz  
Registration No. 29,108

WATTS, HOFFMANN CO., L.P.A.  
P.O. Box 99839  
Cleveland, Ohio 44199-0839

Phone: (216) 241-6700  
Facsimile: (216) 241-8151

Date: Feb 16, 2006